Analysis of Workaholism and Burnout Among Employees of Administrative Units and Two Selected Banks in Slovenia

Tatjana Kozjek

University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Public Administration, Slovenia tatjana.kozjek@fu.uni-lj.si https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5626-8319

Anja Bandelj

University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Public Administration, Slovenia anja.bandelj@gmail.com https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6586-5062

Received: 20. 2. 2023 Revised: 17. 7. 2023 Accepted: 15. 10. 2023 Published: 30. 11. 2023

ABSTRACT

Purpose: Workaholism and burnout can have detrimental effects on both employees and organisations in both the private and public sectors, and therefore calls for further research. The objective of this survey was to statistically analyse significant differences in the variables of workaholism and burnout (including emotional exhaustion, depersonalisation, and personal efficiency) between administrative units and two selected banks, among participants employed in managerial and non-managerial positions, and across genders. Additionally, the survey aimed to analyse the correlations between workaholism, emotional exhaustion, depersonalisation, and personal efficiency. The research involved 621 employees from 58 administrative units and 404 employees from two selected (private) banks in Slovenia.

Design/Methodology/Approach: Various methodological approaches were used, including statistical tests such as multivariate and factor analysis, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests, the Mann-Whitney U test, and Spearman's rank-order correlation coefficient.

Findings: Survey results revealed no statistically significant differences in the variables of workaholism and burnout between administrative units and the two selected banks, among participants employed in managerial and non-managerial positions, and across genders. However, the research uncovered a strong positive correlation between workaholism and emotional exhaustion, a weak positive correlation between workaholism

and depersonalisation, and a slight negative correlation between workaholism and personal efficiency.

Originality/Value: The research contributes to the growing awareness of workaholism and burnout, offering organisations valuable insights to address these issues and enhance employee well-being. Furthermore, it adds to the existing literature on workaholism and burnout within the context of Slovenia.

Keywords: administrative units, banks, burnout, workaholism, Slovenia

JEL: 110

1 Introduction

Work is of key importance for adults, as it enables them to earn a salary, and develop personally: it also encourages the development of new skills and knowledge, shapes the relationships between fellow human beings as well as gives a sense of well-being, meaning, dignity, and self-worth. Employees are driven by both internal and external motives, but employees fail to set boundaries in some cases and work too much. Thus, employees may unknowingly become workaholics (Andreassen, 2014). In recent years, the number of studies devoted to the study of workaholism, and burnout, has increased, According to Workaholism facts and statistics (Holewa, 2023), 46% of European employees deal with severe time pressure or work overload. Even though there are occupations where overtime work is not necessary, it is also expected by employers. Furthermore, financial and insurance services and public administration and safety services are listed among the ten hard-working industries. namely in fifth and tenth place. Excessive work or workaholism can lead to burnout, which manifests itself in the workplace as emotional exhaustion, depersonalisation, and personal inefficiency (Maslach et al., 1997; Maslach and Leiter, 2002). According to (Schaufeli, 2018), the European countries with the highest burnout levels are eastern (Poland) and south-eastern countries (Albania, Turkey, Slovenia, Croatia, Serbia, Montenegro, and Macedonia). Since Slovenia is listed among European countries that have the highest levels of burnout, and financial and public services are listed among the ten hardworking industries, the presented research aimed to analyse differences between workaholism and three dimensions of burnout (emotional exhaustion, depersonalisation, and personal efficiency) among employees in the administrative units and two selected banks in Slovenia. The two banks were selected based on the number of employees so that the number of employees at the banks was approximately the same as the number of employees at the administrative units. The research aims to contribute to rising awareness about workaholism and burnout and to the existing literature on workaholism and burnout within the Slovenian context.

The article first presents the theoretical framework of workaholism and burnout which was the basis for the formation of hypotheses. The next section includes the presentation of the sample and used methods. This is followed by a presentation of results, the testing of hypotheses, a discussion, and findings and proposals.

2 Theoretical Bases for Forming the Hypotheses

Uncontrollable need for constant work or addiction to work that is unmanageable or the behavioural pattern (Scott, Moore and Miceli, 1997) or so termed workaholism is a disease that is like alcohol addiction or alcoholism. It occurs due to the avoidance of problems, impaired self-esteem, consequences of childhood trauma (Seybold and Salomone, 1994; Clark et al, 2016), the need for control in one's life (Cantarow, 1979), the pursuit of success, competitiveness (Seybold and Salomone, 1994), the cost of putting children through school, saving for retirement (Kozjek, 2014). Workaholism occurs when an employee works more than the expected demands of his or her job (Clark et al, 2016). Addiction to work is difficult to overcome, because, unlike other addictions, those who are addicted to work are usually unaware of it: moreover. their dedication makes them work more and more. The deeper the addiction. the more serious, intense, and lasting the consequences, and the greater the risks to a person's overall well-being; the individual experiences various physical, behavioural, emotional, and social consequences (Humphreys, 2000, Balducci et al, 2018). The consequence of workaholism on the individual level is also burnout, which represents gradual emotional exhaustion and loss of motivation in people who have worked with great dedication and enthusiasm (Bakker, Demerouti and Sanz-Vergel, 2014), a decline in values, dignity, spirit, and will (Maslach and Leiter, 2002). The World Health Organization (WHO, 2020), Stare et al. (2012), and Cole et al. (2012) define burnout as an occupational phenomenon resulting from chronic stress that (in the workplace) has not been successfully managed. Kaiser, Richardsen and Martinussen (2021) in their research identified, with multiple regression, that job demands are the most important predictors of burnout. Swider and Zimmerman (2010), and Balducci et al (2018) add that it includes chronic emotional and interpersonal stressors experienced by individuals at work and their subsequent responses to work tasks, organisations, co-workers, clients, and themselves. Halbesleben & Buckley (2004) argue that burnout is a psychological syndrome that manifests itself as emotional exhaustion, depersonalisation, and reduced efficiency. According to Cordes and Dougherty (1993) and Witt, Andrews and Carlson (2004), emotional exhaustion is the feeling that a person's emotional resources are becoming drained, and that person lacks energy. Depersonalisation is according to Cohen (2004), a feeling of detachment from one's self or if somebody is leaving in a dream or like automation. Längle, Orgler and Kundi (2003) define personal efficiency as the meaning of life and openness to considerable existential values, going through a sensible, authentic, responsible life in general. As Cole et al (2012) argued, the consequences of burnout do not only harm the individual but are felt by everyone in any way related to the person experiencing burnout, therefore it should be researched.

According to Amigo et al. (2014) and Dias and Angélico (2018), burnout is most prevalent among those employees whose working hours are longer than 40 hours per week and those who have direct contact with clients at their work. The research of Mar, Soklić & Buzeti (2022) shows that work during non-work time (at different times of the day, at weekends, and during their annual and sick leave) is a growing phenomenon among employees in private and public sectors; they also found that such work is particularly common for employees in managerial positions and for professionals in education, health, and police services, as well as for employees engaged in remote work. The results of the study of Schaufeli, van Wijhe, Peeters, and Taris (2011) show that workaholism and the possibility of employees becoming burnt out are more prevalent among employees (in both managerial and non-managerial iobs) in private sector organisations, especially due to competition in the market and greater opportunities for monetary rewards for employees. Özsoy (2018) compared the level of workaholism of public and private sector employees and found that workaholism occurs in both managerial and non-managerial positions but is more common in managerial positions as they bring more responsibility and decisions are more strategic and complex. Based on the findings, the following hypotheses were formulated: H1: There are statistically significant differences in the variables of workaholism and burnout (emotional exhaustion, depersonalisation, and personal efficiency) between administrative units and the two selected banks. H2: There are statistically significant differences in the variables of workaholism and burnout (emotional exhaustion, depersonalisation, and personal efficiency) between participants employed in managerial and non-managerial positions.

Furthermore, the results of Snir and Harpaz (2006) and Burke, Davis, and Flett (2008) show that there are differences in workaholism between men and women. Beiler-May et al (2017) argue that workaholism among women is underestimated due to cultural norms. According to traditional expectations regarding gender roles, men are supposed to work and provide financial support to the family, while women are supposed to do most of the household chores and take care of the children (Kozjek, Mali and Umek, 2021). Dudek and Szpitalak (2019) found that women are also prone to workaholism since they often have to prove that they can perform assigned tasks just as well as men to succeed in their professional lives. Similarly, Burke (1999) stated that women exhibit a higher level of perfectionism, which could be one of the causes of workaholism by women. Behson (2002) also stated that workaholism is higher in women than in men. Contrary, Snir and Harpaz (2006) found that workaholism, determined based on the number of hours worked per week, is more prevalent in men than in women. Based on these findings it was assumed that H3: There are statistically significant differences between variables of workaholism and burnout (emotional exhaustion, depersonalisation, and personal efficiency) between male and female participants.

Studies that measured the correlation between employee workaholism and burnout in the world (Cheung et al, 2018; Judež, 2018; Staszczyk and Tokarz, 2017; Schaufeli et al, 2008; and Taylor et al, 2018) have shown that there is a correlation between workaholism and burnout. Cheung et al (2018) found that workaholism is positively correlated with emotional exhaustion and depersonalisation and negatively correlated with feelings of personal efficiency. According to previous research, it was assumed that: H4: There is a positive correlation between workaholism and emotional exhaustion and depersonalisation and a negative correlation between workaholism and personal efficiency at administrative units and two selected banks.

Sample and Methods 3

Employees from all 58 administrative units (621 participants) and employees from two selected banks (404 participants) participated in the survey, but they were not named to ensure anonymity. The two banks were selected according to their size so that the number of employees at the selected banks was like the number of employees at administrative units. A total of 1,025 employees from administrative units and banks responded to both surveys, therefore, the results are statistically valid for the selected organizations. The survey was conducted in the spring of 2021 (see also Bandelj, 2021). The link to the anonymous survey questionnaire was sent electronically to the official addresses of all 58 administrative units and the two selected banks with a request to forward the survey questionnaire to all employees.

Of all administrative units' employees, 621 participated in the survey, representing 27% of all employees, whereby 74% (n = 462) were women and 26% (n = 159) were men. 41% of respondents at administrative units were aged 40 to 50 (41%), followed by those aged 51 to 61 (29%). 51% of respondents had a university degree, 19% had a higher education degree and 16% had a master's degree. 18% of participants from administrative units were employed in managerial positions, and 82% were in non-managerial positions.

Of all employees at both banks, 404 employees participated in the survey. which represents 27% of all employees, 82% (n = 332) of which were women and 18% (n = 72) were men. The predominant group at the banks is that aged 40 to 50 (40%), followed by the 51 to 61 age group (37%). 35% of the participants had a university degree, 31% had a higher education degree and 15% had a secondary school degree. 20% of participating employees at the banks were employed in managerial positions, and 80% were in non-managerial positions.

For the research, The Bergen Work Addiction Scale (BWAS) (Anderssen, 2012) and the Maslach burnout inventory (MBI-GS) (Maslach et al. 1997) guestionnaires were used. At The Bergen Work Addiction Scale (BWAS) scale participants, on a 5-point Lickert Scale (1 – never, 2 – rarely, 3 – sometimes, 4 – often, 5 – always), had to answer "how often in the last year...": "have you thought of how you could free up your time to work", "spent much more time on working than initially intended", "worked in order to reduce feelings of guilt, anxiety, helplessness, and depression", "have been told by others to cut down on work without listening to them", "become stressed if you have been prohibited from working", "deprioritised your hobbies, leisure activities, and exercise because of your work", " work so much that it has negatively influenced your health".

At the Maslach burnout inventory (MBI-GS) scale, participants on a 4-point Likert scale (1 – never, 2 – rarely, 3 – frequently, 4 – daily), where higher grades mean a higher level of burnout, had to evaluate three dimensions. According to the first dimension Emotional Exhaustion, they evaluated these variables "I feel emotionally drained from work", "I feel exhausted at the end of the workday", "When I wake up in the morning, I feel tired because I have to go to work again", "Working all day is really tiring for me", "I feel exhausted from work". According to the second dimension Depersonalisation, they evaluated these variables "I want to do my work without being interrupted", "I am less interested in my work since I've been in this job", "I am less enthusiastic about my work", "I am sceptical about the contribution of my work to something", "I doubt the importance of my work". According to the third dimension Personal Efficiency, they evaluated these variables "I feel excited when I get something done at work", "I achieve many important things in my work", "I can effectively solve problems that arise in my work", "I feel that I contribute something to the organization through my work", "In my opinion, I am good at what I do", "In my work, I feel confident that I am efficient and able to get things done".

To test the variables and the hypotheses different methodological approaches were used, namely the Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk test, the Mann-Whitney U test, factor analysis, and Spearman's rank order correlation coefficient.

4 Results

The literature and sources in the field of workaholism and burnout present varied findings when comparing the public and private sectors. Generally, the findings indicate the greater presence of workaholism and burnout in organizations within the private sector. Therefore, in the selected sample of administrative units (AU) and two selected banks, it was important to check whether statistically significant differences exist. The mean values, mean ranks, Mann-Whitney U, and statistical significance of these differences are presented in Tables 1 and 2 below.

The presented findings for the variables of workaholism indicate three statistically significant differences between employees in banks and administrative units. The findings reveal that employees in administrative units, compared to employees in banks, report a higher level of working more than initially intended (Mean Rank = 561.37; P = 0.003), a higher level of being told by others to reduce their workload without listening to them (Mean Rank = 576.23; P <0.001), a higher level of deprioritising their hobbies, leisure activities, and exercise due to the work (Mean Rank = 554.14; P < 0.001). Mean values for all variables range between 2 and 3, indicating a rare or frequent level. Additionally, the results may have been influenced by the Covid-19 pandemic, which leads to the organisations closure and remote work.

Table 1: Comparison of the Variables of Workaholism between employees from administrative units and banks

ey U P		1.0 0.343		43.0		31.5 0.776		7.00		0.020		9.0** <0.007		73.5 0.055
Mann- Whitney U	0 7 7 0 0 0 7	15592	, 7 8 6 7	0.64440.0		137131.5	7777	114071.0	,7770	127734.0	(104309.0**	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	129203.5
Mean Rank	550.84	533.07	506.59	561.37	543.27	537.91	483.38	576.23	514.41	556.38	458.76	591.98	517.90	
z	421	658	421	658	421	658	421	658	421	658	421	658	421	
	Banks	AU	Banks	AU	Banks	AU	Banks	AU	Banks	AU	Banks	AU	Banks	
Variables of Workaholism Organisation	How often in the last year have you thought of how you could free up your	time to work?	How often in the last year have you spent much more time working than	initially intended?	How often in the last year have you worked in order to reduce feelings of	guilt, anxiety, helplessness, and depression?	How often in the last year have you been told by others to cut down on work	without listening to them?	How often in the last year have you become stressed if you have been	prohibited from working?	How often in the last year have you deprioritised your hobbies, leisure activities.	and exercise because of your work?	How often in the last year have you worked so much that it has negatively	: DEL : 00 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C

Source: Own

Table 2: Comparison of the Variables of Burnout between employees from administrative units and banks

ו מסמטי רווב וווי סטרמווירב טן וווץ איטרא.	I doubt the importance of musics	ו מווו פרפלהרמה מסמר מוב רמות וסמנוטו מ) ווול איסוע רס פטוובת וווולי	I am executival about the contribution of mu work to compething	ומודו נכסט כו וכותטומטמיר מסטמר וווץ איטוף.	land lace anthriciaeth about my work	ו פווו נכסט ווורכן כטכבע ווו וווץ איטור אוורכן אב מכבוו ווו מווט לסט.	land less interested in my work since I've been in this job	ו אפוור רס מס וווץ איסוע אינווסמר מבוווץ וווגבוו מליבמי.	Twist to do my work without being interripted	ו בכן האווםטיבט וו סוון שטוא.	I fool exhausted from work	Working an day is reany ching for the.	Working all day is really kiring for me	אאופון אפטב טל ווי מוב וווסו ווווול, וו בבירוובט מברפספב ווופאב נס לס נס איסו ע פלפווני	When I wake in in the morning I feel tired because I have to go to work again	ון פבן פאוופטאבט פר חוב בווח טו רווב אטואסם א .	The locker retrod at the end of the cocker.	Here ellocolaty dialiea Holl work.		Variables of Burnout
AU	Banks	AU	Banks	AU	Banks	AU	Banks	AU	Banks	AU	Banks	AU	Banks	AU	Banks	AU	Banks	AU	Banks	Organisation
641	412	641	412	641	412	641	412	641	412	651	418	651	418	651	418	651	418	651	418	z
547.71	494.77	546.62	496.47	540.74	505.63	541.86	503.88	534.31	515.63	531.65	540.21	534.31	536.07	537.58	530.98	529.87	543.00	538.23	529.97	Mean Rank
1000.0	1107600*	17400.0	119166 5*	173770.0	1232/00	122000	100500 5	121009.0	1272505	133000.0	133880 0		135611 0	10.	13/377 0	132710.5	133716 5	133933.0	0000	Mann- Whitney U
2.000	0 003	0.00	0 005	5	0 0 0 0		0 0 3 1	0.200	0 283	0.032	0 622	0.521	0 0 2 1	-	0 715	1.00	0 4 6 0	0.040	0	ס

Variables of Burnout	Organisation	z	Mean Rank	Mann- Whitney U	۵
	Banks	407	522.13	0 0000	700
i leet excited when i get something done at work.	AU	631	517.81	12/359.0	0.789
1	Banks	407	539.75	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	7
i acilieve iliaily iliipol talit ciliilgs ili iliy wolk.	AU	631	506.44	6.791021	0.047
ارامين بره وزمونيد المبار الممر مرامي بأمرين والمراور	Banks	407	533.44	3 NCTCC1	777
I call effectively solve problems that arise in my work.	AU	631	510.51	122134.3	0
1 500 House do 100 House of the contraction of the	Banks	407	546.15	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	0
Free chach contribute something to the organization through his work.	AU	631	502.31	c.10c/11	- 0.0
	Banks	407	547.08	117100 E	9000
	AU	631	501.71	0.501711	0.00
and recipied to the box toxicials one I to be toxicially	Banks	407	560.24	***0 00 0 + + +	7
ווו וווץ איסו ג, ו) פפר כטון ומפוזר נוזמר ו מווו פן ורופוזר מוומ מטופ נט קפר נוזוווקג מטוופ.	AU	631	493.22	0.020.0	, 00.00 , 00.00

Source: Own

The presented findings for the variables of burnout, including five variables of emotional exhaustion, five variables of depersonalisation, and six variables of personal efficiency, generally do not indicate statistically significant differences between employees in banks and administrative units. However, two variables of depersonalisation and one variable of personal efficiency show significant differences. More specially, employees from the administrative units report a higher level of being sceptical about the contribution of their work to something (Mean rank = 546.62; P = 0.005) and a higher level of expressing doubt about the importance of their work (Mean rank = 547.71: P = 0.003). The mean values for variables range around 2, indicating a rare level. On the other hand, employees from banks report a higher level for one variable of personal efficiency, namely their feeling of being confident that they are efficient and able to get things done (Mean rank = 560.24; P = <0.001). The mean value for the variable is around 3, indicating a frequent level.

Based on the results of the comparison of workaholism and burnout variables, hypothesis H1: "There are statistically significant differences in the variables of workaholism and burnout (emotional exhaustion, depersonalisation, and personal efficiency) between administrative units and the two selected banks", is rejected. Despite the varied findings that indicate a higher presence of workaholism in the private sector organisation, especially due to the market competition and greater opportunities for monetary rewards for employees (e. g. Wijhe, Peeters, and Taris, 2011), the findings of the presented research conducted among Slovenian administrative units and two selected private banks do not confirm this. Additionally, the findings reveal that employees in administrative units report a higher level of working than initially intended, a higher level of being told by others to reduce their workload without listening to them, and a higher level of deprioritising their hobbies, leisure activities, and exercise due to the work. Furthermore, the results do not confirm the notation that employees with more client contacts experience higher levels of burnout, as Amigo et al. (2014) and Dias and Angélico (2018) found. However, these results may be influenced by the Covid-19 pandemic, which has led to an increase in remote work.

The literature and sources in the field of workaholism and burnout present varied findings when comparing workaholism and burnout among managerial and non-managerial positions. Generally, the findings indicate the greater presence of workaholism and burnout among managers. Therefore, in the selected sample of administrative units and two selected banks, it was important to examine whether statistically significant differences exist. The mean values, mean ranks, Mann-Whitney U, and statistical significance of these differences are presented in Tables 3 and 4 below.

Table 3: Comparison of the Variables of Workaholism between managerial and non-managerial positions

Variables of Workaholism	Position	N	Mean rank	Mann- Whitney U	P
How often in the last year have you thought of how	Managerial	191	556.88		
you could free up your time to work?	Nonmanagerial	834	502.95	71266.0	0.019
How often in the last year have you spent much more	Managerial	191	537.95		
time working than initially intended?	Nonmanagerial	834	507.29	74881.5	0.171
How often in the last year have you worked in order	Managerial	191	513.31		
to reduce feelings of guilt, anxiety, helplessness, and depression?	Nonmanagerial	834	512.93	79588.5	0.987
How often in the last year have you been told	Managerial	191	623.92		
by others to cut down on work without listening to them?	Nonmanagerial	834	487.60	58462.0**	<0.001
How often in the last year have you become	Managerial	191	524.51		
stressed if you have been prohibited from working?	Nonmanagerial	834	510.36	77448.5	0.540
How often in the last year have you deprioritised your	Managerial	191	595.59		
hobbies, leisure activities, and exercise because of your work?	Nonmanagerial	834	494.08	63871.5**	<0.001
How often in the last year	Managerial	191	561.61		
have you worked so much that it has negatively influenced your health?	Nonmanagerial	834	501.87	70362.0	0.010

The presented findings for the variables of workaholism indicate two statistically significant differences between managers and non-managers. More specially, the findings reveal that managers report higher levels of being told by others to cut down on work without listening to them (Mean Rank = 623.92; P < 0.001), as well as a higher level of deprioritising their hobbies, leisure activities, and exercise due to the work (Mean Rank = 595.59; P < 0.001). The mean values variables are between 2 and 3, indicating a rare or frequent level.

Table 4: Comparison of the Variables of Burnout between managerial and non-managerial positions

	na non managen					
Variables of Burnout Pos	Position	N	Mean Rank	Mann- Whitney U	Р	
I feel emotionally drained	Managerial	191	532.42	75027.0	0.275	
from work.	Nonmanagerial	834	508.55	75937.0	0.275	
I feel exhausted at the	Managerial	191	552.52	72099.0	0.026	
end of the workday.	Nonmanagerial	834	503.95	12099.0	0.026	
When I wake up in the	Managerial	191	517.64			
morning, I feel tired because I have to go to work again.	Nonmanagerial	834	511.94	78761.0	0.797	
Working all day is really	Managerial	191	471.23	71669.0	0.019	
tiring for me.	Nonmanagerial	834	522.57	7 1009.0	0.019	
I feel exhausted from	Managerial	191	508.18	78727.0	0.787	
work.	Nonmanagerial	834	514.10	10121.0	0.767	
I want to do my	Managerial	191	482.48	72047.0	0.000	
work without being interrupted.	Nonmanagerial	834	519.99	73817.0	0.082	
I am less interested in my	Managerial	191	477.05			
work since I've been in this job.	Nonmanagerial	834	521.23	72781.0	0.043	
I am less enthusiastic	Managerial	191	485.16	74330.0	0.121	
about my work.	Nonmanagerial	834	519.38	7 4330.0	0.121	
I am sceptical about the	Managerial	191	480.12	72247.5	0.070	
contribution of my work to something.	Nonmanagerial	834	520.53	73367.5	0.070	
I doubt the importance of	Managerial	191	456.58	68871.5*	0.002	
my work.	Nonmanagerial	834	525.92	00071.3	0.002	
I feel excited when I get	Managerial	191	526.06	77153.0	0.425	
something done at work.	Nonmanagerial	834	510.01	11155.0	0.423	
I achieve many important	Managerial	191	593.56	64260.5**	<0.001	
things in my work.	Nonmanagerial	834	494.55	04200.5	\0.001	
I can effectively solve	Managerial	191	532.51	75024 5	0.222	
problems that arise in my work.	Nonmanagerial	834	508.53	75921.5	0.223	
I feel that I contribute	Managerial	191	596.35			
something to the organization through my work.	Nonmanagerial	834	493.91	63727.0**	<0.001	
In my opinion, I am good	Managerial	191	517.16	78852.0	0.804	
at what I do.	Nonmanagerial	834	512.05	10032.0	0.004	

Variables of Burnout Pos	Position	N	Mean Rank	Mann- Whitney U	Р
In my work, I feel	Managerial	191	532.31		
confident that I am efficient and able to get things done.	Nonmanagerial	834	508.58	75959.5	0.246

The presented findings for the variables of burnout, which include five variables of emotional exhaustion, five variables of depersonalisation, and six variables of personal efficiency, generally do not indicate statistically significant differences between managers and non-managers. However, one variable of depersonalisation and two variables of personal efficiency show significant differences. More specially, non-managers report a higher level of doubting the importance of their work (Mean rank = 525.92: P = 0.002). The mean value is around 2, indicating a rare level. Additionally, managers report a higher level of feeling that they achieve many important things in their work (Mean rank = 593.56; P < 0.001), as well as they are feeling that they contribute something to the organization through their work (Mean rank = 596.35; P < 0.001). The mean values are around 3, which indicates a frequent level.

Based on the results of the comparison of workaholism and burnout variables, hypothesis H2: There are statistically significant differences in the variables of workaholism and burnout (emotional exhaustion, depersonalisation, and personal efficiency) between participants employed in managerial and non-managerial positions", is rejected. Despite the varied findings indicating a higher prevalence of workaholism and burnout among managers (e. g. Schaufeli, van Wijhe, Peeters, and Taris, 2011; Özsoy, 2018), the findings of the presented research conducted among Slovenian administrative units and two selected private banks do not confirm this for managers and non-managers. Additionally, the findings reveal that managers report higher levels of being told by others to cut down on work without listening to them, as well as a higher level of deprioritising their hobbies, leisure activities, and exercise due to the work. Furthermore, non-managers report a higher level of doubting the importance of their work, on the other hand, managers report a higher level of feeling that they achieve many important things in their work, as well as they are feeling that they contribute something to the organization through their work.

The literature and sources in the field of workaholism and burnout present varied findings when comparing workaholism and burnout between genders. Generally, the findings indicate a greater prevalence of workaholism and burnout among women, mainly because of balancing working and private life. Therefore, in the selected sample of administrative units and two selected banks, it was important to examine whether statistically significant differences exist. The mean values, mean ranks, Mann-Whitney U, and statistical significance of these differences are presented in Tables 5 and 6 below.

Table 5: Comparison of the Variables of Workaholism between genders

Variables of Workaholism (Gender	N	Mean Rank	Mann- Whitney U	Р	
How often in the last year have you thought of how you could free	Male	231	487.76	85876.5	0.129	
up your time to work?	Female	794	520.34	030/0.3	0.129	
How often in the last year have you spent much more time	Male	231	471.39	82094.0	0.010	
working than initially intended?	Female	794	525.11	62094.0	0.010	
How often in the last year have you worked in order to	Male	231	490.83			
reduce feelings of guilt, anxiety, helplessness, and depression?	Female	794	519.45	86586.5	0.183	
How often in the last year have you been told by others to cut	Male	231	507.28	90385.0		
down on work without listening to them?	Female	794	514.66		0.729	
How often in the last year have you become stressed if you have	Male	231	488.63	86078.5	0.143	
been prohibited from working?	Female	794	520.09	66076.3	0.143	
How often in the last year have you deprioritised your hobbies,	Male	231	469.60			
leisure activities, and exercise because of your work?	Female	794	525.63	81682.5	0.009	
How often in the last year have you worked so much that it has	Male	231	437.23	74205.0**	<0.001	
negatively influenced your health?	Female	794	535.04	74203.0	<0.007	

The presented findings for the variables of workaholism indicate one statistically significant difference between genders. More specially, the findings reveal that women report higher frequencies of working so much that it has negatively influenced their health (Mean rank = 535.04; P < 0.001). The mean value is around 3, indicating a frequent level.

Table 6: Comparison of the Variables of Burnout between genders

Variables of Burnout	Gender	N	Mean Rank	Mann- Whitney U U	P	
I feel emotionally drained from	Male	231	457.02	78775.5**	<0.001	
work.	Female	794	529.29			
I feel exhausted at the end of the workday.	Male	231	457.61	78913.0**	< 0.001	
	Female	794	529.11			
When I wake up in the morning, I feel tired because I have to go to	Male	231	452.55	77742.5**	< 0.001	
work again.	Female	794	530.59			
Working all day is really tiring for	Male	231	463.92	80369.0*	0.002	
me.	Female	794	527.28	00303.0	0.002	
I feel exhausted from work.	Male	231	451.02	77389.5**	< 0.001	
	Female	794	531.03		0.00.	
I want to do my work without	Male	231	527.32	88399.0	0.358	
being interrupted.	Female	794	508.83			
I am less interested in my work	Male	231	505.11	89885.0	0.616	
since I've been in this job.	Female	794	515.29			
I am less enthusiastic about my work.	Male	231	496.54	87905.0	0.302	
	Female	794	517.79			
I am sceptical about the contribution of my work to	Male	231	484.97	85231.0	0.082	
something.	Female	794	521.16		0.002	
I doubt the importance of my	Male	231	469.01	81545.0	0.006	
work.	Female	794	525.80	01545.0	0.000	
I feel excited when I get	Male	231	507.92	90534.0	0.727	
something done at work.	Female	794	514.48	7 0 3 3 0	01	
I achieve many important things in	Male	231	510.95	91234.0	0.892	
my work.	Female	794	513.60			
I can effectively solve problems	Male	231	511.03	91251.5	0.889	
that arise in my work.	Female	794	513.57			
I feel that I contribute something to the organization through my	Male	231	526.39	88613.5	0.388	
work.	Female	794	509.10	00013.3	3.300	
In my opinion, I am good at what	Male	231	514.96	91254.5	0.895	
I do.	Female	794	512.43	91234.3	0.053	

Variables of Burnout	Gender	N	Mean Rank	Mann- Whitney U U	P
In my work, I feel confident that I	Male	231	533.77		
am efficient and able to get things done.	Female	794	506.96	86908.5	0.176

The presented findings for the variables of burnout, which include five variables of emotional exhaustion, five variables of depersonalisation, and six variables of personal efficiency, generally do not indicate statistically significant differences between genders. However, significant differences were found for all variables of emotional exhaustion. Additionally, women report a higher level of feeling emotionally drained from work (Mean rank = 529.29; P < 0.001) and feeling exhausted at the end of the workday (Mean rank = 529.11; P < 0.001). They also report a higher level of tiredness when waking up in the morning because they have to go to work (Mean rank = 530.59; P < 0.001), finding working all day to be really tiring (Mean rank = 527.28; P = 0.002), and experiencing exhaustion from work (Mean rank = 531.03; P < 0.001). The mean values range between 2 and 3, which indicates a rare or frequent level.

Based on the results of the comparison of workaholism and burnout variables. hypothesis H3: There are statistically significant differences between variables of workaholism and burnout (emotional exhaustion, depersonalisation, and personal efficiency) between male and female participants", is rejected. However, the findings indicate that women report statistically significantly higher frequencies of feeling emotionally exhausted. Despite the varied findings indicating a higher presence of workaholism and burnout among women (e. g. Burke, 1999; Dudek and Szpitalak, 2019), the findings of the presented research conducted among Slovenian administrative units and two selected private banks do not support this trend for women. Additionally, women report a higher level of feeling emotionally drained from work and feeling exhausted at the end of the workday. They also report a higher level of tiredness when waking up in the morning because they have to go to work, finding working all day to be really tiring, and experiencing exhaustion from work.

The literature and sources on the correlation between employee workaholism and burnout have shown the existence of a correlation. Therefore, it was important to examine whether a positive correlation between workaholism and emotional exhaustion and depersonalisation, as well as a negative correlation between workaholism and personal efficiency, exists in the selected sample of administrative units and two banks. To test the fourth hypothesis, the data reduction method was used. The result of factors analysis results for the seven workaholism variables indicate that one factor (workaholism) explains 50,819% of the variance. Similarly, the factor analysis results for the burnout variables (Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis; Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization) shows that three factors (emotional exhaustion, depersonalisation, and personal efficiency) explain 64,184% of the variance. The hypothesis was tested with the Spearman correlation coefficient. The results are shown in Table 7.

The presented (Table 7) findings indicate a strong positive correlation between workaholism and emotional exhaustion ($\rho = 0.611$; P < 0.001), a weak positive correlation between workaholism and depersonalisation ($\rho = 0.327$; P < 0.001), and a negligible negative correlation between workaholism and personal efficiency ($\rho = -0.164$; P = 0.001).

		Workaholism
Emotional exhaustion	Spearman correlation coefficient (p) P N	0.611** <0.001 1025
Depersonalisation	Spearman correlation coefficient (p) P N	0.327** <0.001 1025
Personal Efficiency	Spearman correlation coefficient (p) P N	-0.099 0.001 1025

Table 7: Spearman correlation coefficient

The results confirm hypothesis H4: There is a positive correlation between workaholism and emotional exhaustion and depersonalisation and a negative correlation between workaholism and personal efficiency at administrative units and two selected banks". The findings align with the results by Cheung et al (2018), who also found a positive correlation between workaholism and emotional exhaustion and depersonalisation, and a negative correlation with feelings of personal efficiency.

Discussions

Administrative units implement regulations and guidelines from higher-level institutions (ministries) and their employees are in direct contact with clients who have ever-increasing expectations. This can lead to stress, and in in the long run, even burnout for some employees. The same can be said for banks, where employees with direct client contact face increasing demands are from both clients and superiors. However, contrary to findings from Amigo et al. (2014) and Dias and Angélico (2018), the presented research conducted among Slovenian administrative units and two selected private banks do not

^{**} The correlation is statistically significant at 0.01 (2-sided).

confirm a higher presence of burnout among employees with more client contacts. Additionally, the results of the presented research do not confirm findings indicating a higher prevalence of workaholism in the private sector organisation, as Wijhe, Peeters, and Taris (2011) found. On the other hand, the findings reveal that employees in administrative units report a higher level of working than initially intended, a higher level of being told by others to reduce their workload without listening to them, and a higher level of deprioritising their hobbies, leisure activities, and exercise due to the work.

Furthermore, despite the varied findings indicating a higher prevalence of workaholism and burnout among managers (e. g. Schaufeli, van Wijhe, Peeters, and Taris, 2011; Özsov, 2018), the findings of the presented research conducted among Slovenian administrative units and two selected private banks do not confirm this for managers and non-managers. Additionally, the findings reveal that managers report higher levels of being told by others to cut down on work without listening to them, as well as a higher level of deprioritising their hobbies, leisure activities, and exercise due to the work. On the other hand, non-managers report a higher level of doubting the importance of their work. Contrary, managers report a higher level of feeling that they achieve many important things in their work, as well as they are feeling that they contribute something to the organization through their work.

According to Dudek and Szpitalak (2019), traditional expectations surrounding gender roles, men are traditionally expected to focus primarily on work and provide financial support to the family, while women are expected to manage most of the household chores and take care of the children in addition to their jobs; it can lead to challenging for women to balance family life with their career, leading to work overload. Based on the results of the comparison of workaholism and burnout variables according to gender, the findings indicate that women report statistically significantly higher frequencies of feeling emotionally exhausted. Additionally, women report a higher level of feeling emotionally drained from work and feeling exhausted at the end of the workday. They also report a higher level of tiredness when waking up in the morning because they have to go to work, find working all day to be really tiring, and experience exhaustion from work. Despite the varied findings indicating a higher presence of workaholism and burnout among women (e. g. Burke, 1999; Dudek and Szpitalak, 2019), the findings of the presented research conducted among Slovenian administrative units and two selected private banks do not support this trend for women. This divergence may be attributed to different social environments and the fact that women today aspire to be financially independent or less dependent on men, thereby prioritising their careers more than in the past. It is crucial for individuals to actively monitor their work schedule and strive to achieve a better balance between professional and family or personal life (Kozjek et al, 2014; Kozjek et al, 2021), to effectively recover from exhaustion and fatigue.

However, the findings align with the results by Cheung et al (2018), who also found a positive correlation between workaholism and emotional exhaustion and depersonalisation, as well as a negative correlation with feelings of personal efficiency. Nevertheless, it is important for organisations and employees to prioritise workload management and implement employee well-being programs in order to prevent burnout, promote work-life balance, and assure regular assessment of employee well-being.

The current study has some limitations that should be acknowledged. One limitation is the potential self-selection bias as non-probability sampling was employed, which means that the participants included in the research may differ from those who chose not to participate. Additionally, the research was reinforced by the similar findings of other researchers in this area, further strengthening their reliability. Moreover, it is important to recognise that the results of the study may be influenced by the Covid-19 pandemic, which has resulted in an increase in remote work. Therefore, conducting further research in this area would be appropriate. Nevertheless, this research contributes to rising awareness about workaholism and burnout, allowing organisations to address the issues and improve employees' well-being. Furthermore, it adds to the existing literature on workaholism and burnout within the Slovenian context.

5 **Conclusions**

The paper presents research on workaholism and burnout conducted among Slovenian administrative units and two selected private banks. The research was reinforced by the similar findings of other researchers in this area, further strengthening their reliability. The analysis of workaholism was conducted using seven variables, while burnout was measured using five variables of emotional exhaustion, five variables of depersonalisation, and six variables of personal efficiency.

The findings for the variables of workaholism generally do not indicate statistically significant differences between administrative units and banks, as well as not between managers and non-managers, and across genders. Similarly, the findings for the variables of burnout do not show statistically significant differences between these categories. However, the research reveals a positive correlation between workaholism and emotional exhaustion and depersonalisation, as well as a negative correlation with feelings of personal efficiency.

Therefore, it is crucial for organisations and employees to prioritise workload management and implement employee well-being programs to prevent burnout, promote work-life balance, and assure regular assessment of employee well-being. It is also important to acknowledge that the results of the study may be influenced by the Covid-19 pandemic, which has resulted in an increase in remote work. Further research in this area would be valuable to deepen understanding of the research.

Nonetheless, this research contributes to rising awareness about workaholism and burnout, providing organisations with insights to address these issues and improve employees' well-being. Furthermore, it adds to the existing literature on workaholism and burnout within the Slovenian context.

References:

- Amigo, I. et al. (2014). Working in direct contact with the public as a predictor of burnout in the banking sector. Psicothema, 26(2), pp. 222–226. doi: 10.7334/ psicothema2013.282
- Andreassen, C. et al. (2012). Development of a work addiction scale. Scandinavian journal of psychology, 53, pp. 265–272. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1467-9450.2012.00947.x
- Andreassen, C. S. et al. (2014). The Prevalence of Workaholism: A Survey Study in a Nationally Representative Sample of Norwegian Employees. PLoS One, 9(8), pp. 1–10. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0102446
- Bandelj, A. (2021). Analiza povezanosti deloholizma in izgorelosti zaposlenih v upravnih enotah in v izbranih bankah. [Analysis of the relationship between workaholism and employee burnout in administrative units and in selected banks]. Master's thesis. Ljubljana: Faculty of Public Administration, University of Ljubljana
- Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E. and Burke, R. (2009). Workaholism and relationship quality: A spillover-crossover perspective. Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 14(1), pp. 23–33. doi: 10.1037/a0013290
- Balducci, C., Avanzi, L. and Fraccaroli, F. (2018). The individual "Costs" of workaholism: An analysis based on multisource and prospective data. Journal of Management, 44(7), pp. 2961–2986. https://doi. org/10.1177/0149206316658348
- Beiler May, A. et al. (2016). Gender Bias in the Measurement of Workaholism. Journal of Personality Assessment, 99(1), pp. 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1080/00 223891.2016.1198795
- Burke, R. J. (1999). Workaholism in organizations: Gender differences. Sex Roles: A Journal of Research, 41(5–6), pp. 333–345. doi: 10.1023/A:1018818731922
- Burke, R., Davis, R. A. and Flett, G. L. (2008). Workaholism Types, Perfectionism and Work Outcomes. Isgue The Journal of Industrial Relations and Human Resources, 10(4), pp. 30–40. https://doi.org/10.4026/1303-2860.2008.0083.x
- Cantarow, E. (1979). Women workaholics. Mother Jones, 6, pp. 56–58.
- Cheung, F. et al. (2018). Workaholism on Job Burnout: A Comparison Between American and Chinese Employees. Frontiers in Psychology, 9, pp. 1–11. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02546
- Clark, M. A. et al. (2016). All Work and No Play? A Meta-Analytic Examination of the Correlates and Outcomes of Workaholism. Journal of Management, 42(7), pp. 1836–1873. doi: 10.1177/0149206314522301
- Cohen. P. R. (2004). Medication-associated depersonalization symptoms: Report of transient depersonalization symptoms induced by minocycline. Southern Medical Journal, 97(1), pp. 70–73, doi: 10.1097/01. SMJ.0000083857.98870.98
- Cole, M. S. et al. (2012). Job burnout and employee engagement: A meta-analytic examination of construct proliferation. Journal of Management, 38(5), pp. 1550-1581. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206311415252
- Cordes, C. L. and Dougherty, T. W. (1993). A review and an integration of research on job burnout. Academy of Management Review, 18, pp. 621–656. https://doi.org/10.2307/258593

- Dias, F. S. and Angélico, A. P. (2018). Burnout Syndrome in Bank Employees: A Literature Review. Trends in Psychology, 26(1), pp. 15-30. doi: 10.9788/ tp2018.1-02pt
- Dudek, I. and Szpitalak, M. (2019). Gender differences in workaholism and workrelated variables. Studia Humanistyczne AGH, 18(4), pp. 59–76. doi: 10.7494/ human.2019.18.4.59
- Field, A. (2017). Mann-Whitney Test. Discovering Statistics. At https://www. discoveringstatistics.com/statistics-hell-p/postverta-foundational-statistics/ mann-whitney-test/>, accessed 1 July 2023.
- Goldman Sachs (2021). Working Conditions Survey. Goldman Sachs & Co. LLC. At https://biws-support.s3.amazonaws.com/Goldman%20Sachs%20 Working%20Conditions%20Survey.pdf>, accessed 1 July 2023.
- Halbesleben, J. R. B. and Buckley, M. R. (2004). Burnout in Organizational Life. Journal of Management, 30(6), pp. 859-879. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. im.2004.06.004
- Holewa, R. (2023). 50+ Workaholism facts and Statistics (2023). At https:// www.quidlo.com/blog/workaholism-facts-and-statistics/#Workaholism_In_ Europe>, accessed 1 July 2023.
- Humphreys, T. (2000). Are you addicted to work? Accountancy Ireland, 32(6), pp. 26–27. At http://www.proquest.com/docview/223176634?account id=28926/>, accessed 1 July 2023.
- Judež, D. (2018). Pretirana usmerjenost v samopreseganje in dosežke vodita v deloholizem in izgorelost. [Excessive focus on self-transcendence and achievement leads to workaholism and burnout]. Revija za univerzalno odličnost, 7(3), pp. 288–296.
- Kaiser, S., Richardsen, A. M. and Martinussen, M. (2021). Burnout and Engagement at the Northernmost University in the World. SAGE Open, 11(3), pp. 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211031552
- Kozjek, T., Mali, N. and Umek, L. (2021). Father's participation in childcare and household tasks. Revija Za Socijalnu Politiku, 28(1), pp. 47-68. https://doi. org/10.3935/rsp.v28i1.1717
- Koziek, T., Tomaževič, N. and Stare, J. (2014), Work-life Balance by Area, Actual Situation and Expectations – the Overlapping Opinions of Employers and Employees in Slovenia. Organizacija, 47(1), pp. 35–51. http://organizacija. fov.uni-mb.si/index.php/organizacija/article/view/547>.
- Längle, A., Orgler, C. and Kundi, M. (2003). The Existence Scale. A new approach to assess the ability to And personal meaning in life and to reach existential fulfillment. European Psychotherapy, 4, pp. 135–151.
- Mar, Š., Sokolić, D. and Buzeti, J. (2022). Work During Non-Work Time of Public Employees. Central European Public Administration Review, 20(1), pp. 85– 102. doi: 10.17573/cepar.2022.1.04
- Maslach, C., Jackson, S. and Leiter, M. (1997). The Maslach Burnout Inventory Manual. Evaluating Stress: A Book of Resources, 3, pp. 191–218.
- Maslach, C. and Leiter, M. P. (2002). Resnica o izgorevanju na delovnem mestu. [The truth about workplace burnout]. Ljubljana: Educy.
- Özsoy, E. (2018). Comparing the Workaholism Level of Managers and Non-Managers. Business & Management Studies: An International Journal, 6(4), pp. 806-821. doi: 10.15295/bmij.v6i4.294
- Schaufeli, W. B., Taris, T. W. and van Rhenen, W. (2008). Workaholism, burnout, and work engagement: Three of a kind or three different kinds of employee

- well-being? Applied Psychology: An International Review, 57(2), pp. 173-203. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-0597.2007.00285.x
- Schaufeli, W. et al. (2011). Werkverslaving, een begrip gemeten. [Work slaving, a concept met]. Gedrag & Organisatie, 24(1), pp. 43–63. doi: 10.5117/2011.024.001.043
- Schaufeli, W.B. (2018). Burnout in Europe: Relations with national economy, governance, and culture. Research Unit Occupational & Organizational Psychology and Professional Learning (internal report). Belgium: KU Leuven.
- Scottl, K., Moore, K. and Miceli, M. (1997). An Exploration of the Meaning and Consequences of Workaholism, Human Relations – HUM RELAT, 50(3), pp. 287-314. https://doi.org/10.1177/001872679705000304
- Seybold, K. C. and Salomone, P. R. (1994). Understanding workaholism: A review of causes and counseling approaches. Journal of Counseling & Development, 73(1), pp. 4–9. doi: 10.1002/j.1556-6676.1994.tb01702.x
- Snir, R. and Harpaz, I. (2006). The workaholism phenomenon: A cross-national perspective. Career Development International, 11(5), pp. 374–393. doi: 10.1108/13620430610683034
- Stare, J. et al. (2012). Boliše delovno okolie za boliše sodelovanie. [Better working environment for better cooperation]. Ljubljana: Fakulteta za upravo Univerze v Liubliani.
- Staszczyk, S. and Tokarz, A. (2017). The relationship between indicators of workaholism and burnout in specialists and managers. Roczniki Psychologiczne/Annals of Psychology, 18(4), pp. 523–540. doi: 10.18290/ rpsych.2015.18.4-2en
- Swider, B. W. and Zimmerman, R. D. (2010). Born to burnout: A meta-analytic path model of personality, job burnout, and work outcomes. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 76(3), pp. 487–506. doi: 10.1016/j.jvb.2010.01.003
- Taylor, E. A., Huml, M. R. and Dixon, M. A. (2018). Workaholism in Sport: A Mediated Model of Work–Family Conflict and Burnout. Journal of Sport Management, 33(4), pp. 249–260. doi: 10.1123/jsm.2018-0248
- WHO. (2020). Burn-out an "occupational phenomenon": International Classification of Diseases. World Health Organization. At http://www.who. int/mental health/evidence/burn-out/en/>, accessed 1 July 2023.
- Witt, L. A., Andrews, M. C. and Carlson, D. S. (2004). When conscientiousness isn't enough: Emotional exhaustion and performance among call center customer service representatives. Journal of Management, 30, pp. 149–160.